A. Goal 1.1 Advance faculty excellence in teaching, scholarship, and service	Outcome Recruit, mentor and retain outstanding faculty who are diverse.	Assessment/ Measures and Target Performance Levels CAS will conduct four searches. The interview pool will be required to be racially and ethnically diverse.	Strategies to Achieve Target Performance Level 4 (four) searches are currently underway.	Result: Data results One search (in Math) had to be cancelled. Three new hires out of four. Target nearly met.	Analysis Need to start search process much earlier, i.e., by September 1. Math search failed because all qualified finalists had accepted positions elsewhere (by April).
B. Goal 1.1 Advance faculty excellence in teaching, scholarship, and service	Enhance support for scholarship.	Increase the level of released time opportunities from CAS dean's office for faculty research, especially for junior faculty.	15 credits were awarded in CAS for research to junior faculty in Fall 2016 out of a total of 24; 18 credits were awarded in CAS for research to junior faculty in Spring 2017 out of a total of 24. There was a total of 21 credits awarded in CAS in 2015-16 so this year's target has been met.	The process has been streamlined, enhanced, and improved through the development of specific criteria and the application of equitable, transparent, and measurable rubrics to review and evaluate all requests for released time for research. Regular and ongoing academic and administrative program assessment is a continuing process in the College of Arts & Sciences (CAS). This practice is designed to monitor and improve all aspects of our operation. In addition, the application of clear and coherent criteria and rubrics to review and evaluate all	Continue to apply criteria for applicants so that outcomes are equitable, transparent, and measurable, and the results are showcased in a public forum. All faculty awarded released time for research will have to comply with the following: Criteria: Any faculty member in the College of Arts & Sciences, whether junior (untenured) or senior (tenured), requesting released time for research or scholarly purposes will need to submit the following materials in order to be considered: Before completion of the project

Link to Strategic Plan	Outcome	Assessment/ Measures and Target Performance Levels	Strategies to Achieve Target Performance Level	Result: Data results	Analysis
P(d)		Periormance Levels	Periormance Level	competitive processes in CAS adds a much-needed level of transparency and uniformity to these processes. It also enables us to assess these activities objectively and evenhandedly over time, detecting trends, making the required adjustments, and taking the appropriate corrective measures needed to keep these processes meaningful, viable, and precise. In addition to having clear expectations, we apply a specific rubric — a standard set of qualitative and quantitative evaluation criteria — to the present review and evaluation process. The rubric we have developed to review and evaluate applications for released time for research helps us make explicit, objective, and consistent the criteria for performance, which otherwise would be implicit, subjective, and inconsistent if only isolated opinions were used as	 A detailed description of the research project, outlining clearly why the faculty member should be granted the released time requested. A detailed description of the outcomes / deliverables, along with a timeline & work plan, outlining clearly the benefits the proposed research project will bring to the faculty member, to his/her department, and to the students at NJCU. If applicable, the faculty member should provide a detailed budget as well, outlining a reason or rationale for each budget item and explaining in detail how these items are essential for completion of the project. A detailed report, after the research project has been completed, outlining clearly how the

Academic Year: 2016-17

programs to the point where

Media Arts, especially in the

graduate MFA program.

8/11/2017 3

courses per year.

Target not met.

Academic Year:

completed and

accomplished.

outcomes/deliverables

described in #2 were

2016-17

Academic Year:

2016-17

Academic Year:

2016-17

Link to Strategic		Assessment/ Measures and Target	Strategies to Achieve Target		
Plan	Outcome	Performance Levels	Performance Level	Result: Data results	Analysis
	AFT Agreement, and "AFT	develop and offer academic	currently being used to review		
	Locally Negotiated	programs of the highest quality.	and evaluate some important		
	Agreements," the dean's office		aspects of our operation: 1)		
	has produced and continues to	In addition to providing clear	Requests for Released Time for		
	produce "Clarifications of CAS	and uniform administrative	Research; 2) Requests for		
	Procedures" in order to provide	guidance to the 21 departments	Released Time for		
	clear and uniform administrative	and programs in CAS through	Administrative Purposes; and 3)		
	guidance to the 21 departments	our "Clarifications of CAS	Requests permission to carry a		
	and programs in CAS.	Procedures," we also engage in	semester total above the		
		regular and ongoing academic	normal workload of 12 credit		
		and administrative program	hours.		
		assessment. This is a			
		continuing process in the	<u>Target met</u>		
		College of Arts & Sciences			
		(CAS) and is a practice			
		designed to monitor and			
		improve all aspects of our			
		operation. In addition,			
		the application of clear and			
		coherent criteria and rubrics			
		to review and evaluate all			
		competitive processes in CAS			
		adds a much-needed level of			
		transparency and uniformity			
		to these processes. It also			
		enables us to assess these			
		activities objectively and			
		evenhandedly over time,			
		detecting trends, making the			
		required adjustments,			
		and taking the appropriate			
		corrective measures needed to			

Link to Strategic Plan	Outcome	Assessment/ Measures and Target Performance Levels	Strategies to Achieve Target Performance Level	Result: Data results	Analysis
		keep these processes meaningful, viable, and precise.			
D. Goal 1.2 Develop and offer academic programs of the highest quality	Following closely the policies and information from the <i>NJCU Faculty Handbook</i> , the <i>New Jersey Academic Code</i> , "NJCU Academic Affairs Decision-Making Criteria," the <i>AFT Agreement</i> , and "AFT Locally Negotiated Agreements," with the objective of providing clear and uniform administrative guidance to the 21 departments and programs in CAS, the dean's office produced a rubric to review and evaluate information for promotion and reappointment of full-time faculty.	Provide transparent and equitable administrative guidance to the 21 department and programs in the College of Arts & Sciences insofar as our review and evaluation of full-time faculty reappointment and promotion materials are concerned, as we aim to develop and offer academic programs of the highest quality.	The CAS dean's office has produced a rubric to review and evaluate information for promotion and reappointment of full-time faculty. Target met	This rubric to review and evaluate information for promotion and reappointment of full-time faculty has already been put to use and proven very effective.	Because this rubric follows closely current NJCU policy, and has proven very effective, Central Administration has decided to use it in all other schools and colleges as a uniform administrative tool to review and evaluate information for promotion and reappointment of full-time faculty.