

A Message for General Education Instructors on the Assessment of Signature Assignments

Starting in Fall 2017, students in Gen Ed courses will no longer submit end-of-semester signature assignments to Tk20. Instead, students will submit their signature assignments to instructors only.

In addition, instructors will assess their own students' signature assignments using simple online versions of the Gen Ed assessment rubrics. The assessment team will no longer receive or score end-of-semester signature assignments.

This change creates a simplified and sustainable assessment process that prioritizes the essential role of faculty in supporting student success through involvement in programmatic assessment of student learning.

Since signature assignments will no longer be collected via Tk20 or scored by the assessment team, instructors may collect their students' signature assignments by any method they choose: they may require hard copies, email or Blackboard submissions, etc. In addition, in courses that cover oral communication there is no longer a need for students to record their signature assignment oral presentations. Instructors may now assess their students' live presentations using the oral communication rubric in real time.

On the following pages, we have provided images of the six simple online versions of the Gen Ed assessment rubrics referenced above. These will give you a clear sense of what the online rubrics look like. You may decide to print hard copies of these forms for use during the semester as you assess your students' progress on the University-wide student learning outcomes covered in your course. Ideally, most students will achieve the Tier 3 level by the end of the Tier 3 Capstone course and completion of Gen Ed. We also hope they will achieve the Tier 1 level by the end of Tier 1 courses and the Tier 2 level by the end of Tier 2 courses. The important thing is accurately to assess their progress and build on that progress to improve outcomes over time. There is absolutely no pressure to inflate results or to paint a prettier picture than reality supports. Note that scores are not tied to individual student or professor identities. They are collected anonymously and aggregated by Tier and Mode(s) of Inquiry.

The simple rubrics shown below do not include descriptors for each score; you will need descriptors to accurately and effectively score your students' work. The descriptors are found on the modified AAC&U VALUE rubrics which may be accessed via the Gen Ed website: www.njcu.edu/gened. Links are also included at the top of the following pages.

As a faculty member teaching in the Gen Ed program, you have a lot to contribute to an expanded conversation about teaching and learning at NJCU. A shift of emphasis from the logistics of the previous complex assessment process to a more sustained, focused conversation on teaching and learning, will benefit faculty, students, and the program as a whole. It will also help prepare more instructors to participate in efforts to revise the program scoring rubrics, a project we hope to begin this academic year.

A more central role for instructors should deepen faculty awareness of program goals and foreground the relationship between student outcomes and classroom practices. It should also help ensure that assessment achieves its best and highest purposes: to inform a vibrant conversation on teaching and learning that clarifies what we value as a community of educators and informs strategies for better accomplishing our educational goals. Meaningful assessment, done by instructors, becomes a chance to inform ourselves about the effectiveness of our program and to strategize about ways to improve it—starting in our own classrooms.

The General Education Committee for Assessment and Policy (GECAP) and I look forward to working with you throughout this new process to help ensure that Gen Ed instructors become more aware of program goals, and the rubrics used to assess them; to focus individually and collectively on the relationship between classroom practices, such as assignment design, and our own students' results; and to facilitate a greater focus on pedagogical and curricular concerns at faculty development meetings which, instead of addressing practical yet uninspiring topics like "how to upload assignments to Tk20," will increasingly address topics of true relevance, interest, and importance to faculty, because they more effectively enhance our ability to support our own students' achievement.

Thank you in advance for joining us in this endeavor.

Joshua Fausty, Ph.D.
Professor of English
Director, General Education
New Jersey City University
<u>ifausty@njcu.edu</u>
<u>www.njcu.edu/gened</u>



CIVIC ENGAGEMENT AND INTERCULTURAL KNOWLEDGE (rubric)

Class Tier						
Tier 1		Tie	er 2		Tier 3	
Class Mode of Inquiry (select all t	hat apply)					
Creative Process and Production						
Language, Literary, and Cultural St	udies					
Scientific and Quantitative Inquiry						
Social and Historical Perspectives						
	Tier III Target = Score of 4	Tier II Target = Score of	Tier I Target = Score of	Below Tier Target = Score of 1 Beginning College	Below Tier I Target = Score of 0 Low Beginning College	Not Applicable = NA
	Tier II Score: Capstone 4	Score: Milestones 3	Ther I Score: Milestones 2	<mark>.eve</mark> Score: Benchmark 1	Leve Score: Does not meet benchmark 0	NA
Knowledge	\bigcirc	0	\circ	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Diversity of Communities and Cultures	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ
Analysis of Knowledge	0	0	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Civic Identity and Commitment	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Civic Communication	\circ	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Civic Action and Reflection	\circ	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Civic Contexts/Structures	\circ	0	\circ	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc



CRITICAL THINKING AND PROBLEM SOLVING (rubric)

Class Tier							
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3					
Class Mode of Inquiry (select all that apply)							
Creative Process and Production							
Language, Literary, and Cultural Studies							
Scientific and Quantitative Inquiry							
Social and Historical Perspectives							
ENGL 101 or ENGL 102							
MATH 114, MATH 140, MATH 164, or MATH 175							

	Tier III Target = Score of 4 Score: Capstone 4	Tier II Target = Score of 3 Score: Milestones 3	Tier I Target = Score of 2 Score: Milestones 2	Below Tier I Target = Score of 1 Reginning College Level Score: Benchmark 1	Score of 0 we Beginning College well Score: Does not meet benchmark 0	Not Applicable = NA NA
Topic Identification and Management	0	0	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Explanation of Issues	0	0	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\circ
Evidence	0	0	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Influence of Context and Assumptions	0	0	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Student's Position	0	0	\circ	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Theoretical Framework or Approach	0	0	\circ	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Conclusions and Related Outcomes	0	0	0	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc



INFORMATION AND TECHNOLOGICAL LITERACY (rubric)

Class Tier						
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3				
Class Mode of Inquiry (select all that apply)						
Creative Process and Production						
Language, Literary, and Cultural Studies						
Scientific and Quantitative Inquiry						
Social and Historical Perspectives						

	Tier III Target = Score of 4 Score: Capstone 4	Fier II Target = Score of 3 Score: Milestones 3	Tier I Target = Score of 2 Score: Milestones 2	Below Tier I Target = Score of 1 Beganning College Level Score: Benchmark 1	Score of 0 W Beginning Collegion Eve Score: Does not meet benchmark 0	Not Applicable = NA NA	
Determine the Extent of Information and Technology Needed	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access and Use Needed Information with Appropriate Strategies and Technologies	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Evaluate Sources and Applications of Information Critically	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Use Information Effectively to Accomplish a Specific Purpose, Using Technology as Needed	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Access and Use Information and Technology Ethically and Legally	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Application of Information Literacy and Technology Resources	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Evaluation of Web-Based and Technology Resources	0	0	0	0	0	0	



ORAL COMMUNICATION (<u>rubric</u>)

Class Tier						
Tier 1		Tie	er 2		Tier 3	
Class Mode of Inquiry (select all th	nat apply)					
Creative Process and Production						
Language, Literary, and Cultural Stu	ıdies					
Scientific and Quantitative Inquiry						
Social and Historical Perspectives						
ESL 101, ESL 102, ESL 115, or ESL	116					
				Below Tier I Target =	Below Tier I Target =	
	Tier III Target = Score of 4	Tier II Target = Score of 3	Tier I Target = Score of 2	Score of 1 Beginning College	Score of 0 Low Beginning College	Not Applicable = NA
	Tier II Score: Capstone 4	<mark>Tier I</mark> Score: Milestones 3	Gerel Score: Milestones 2	<mark>Level</mark> Score: Benchmark 1	Score: Does not meet benchmark 0	NA
Organization	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Language	\circ	\circ	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Delivery	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Supporting Material	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		\circ	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Central Message	\bigcirc	\circ	0	\circ	\circ	\bigcirc



QUANTITATIVE LITERACY (rubric)

Class Tier						
Tier 1		Tie	er 2		Tier 3	
Class Mode of Inquiry (select all th	at apply)					
Creative Process and Production						
Language, Literary, and Cultural Stud	dies					
Scientific and Quantitative Inquiry						
Social and Historical Perspectives						
MATH 114, MATH 140, MATH 164, o	or MATH 175					
	Tier III Target = Score of	Tier II Target = Score of	Tier I Target = Score of	Polou Tior I Torrot -	Below Tier I Target = Score of 0	
	4	3	2	Below Tier I Target = Score of 1	Low Beginning College	Not Applicable= NA
	Tier III Score: Capstone 4	Tier II Score: Milestones 3	Tier I Score: Milestones 2	Reginning College Level Score: Benchmark 1	Score: Does not meet benchmark 0	NA
Interpretation	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\circ	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Representation	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\circ
Calculation	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\circ	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Application/Analysis	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Assumptions	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	

Click "Submit" at the bottom when you are ready to submit your scores.

Communication

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc



WRITTEN COMMUNICATION (rubric)

Class Tier							
Tier 1		Tie	er 2		Tier 3		
Class Mode of Inquiry (select all tha	at apply)						
Creative Process and Production							
Language, Literary, and Cultural Stuc	lies						
Scientific and Quantitative Inquiry							
Social and Historical Perspectives	Social and Historical Perspectives						
ENGL 101 or ENGL 102							
ESL 101, ESL 102, ESL 115, or ESL 1	16						
	Tier III Target = Score of	Tier II Target = Score of	Tier I Target = Score of	Below Tier I Target =	Below Tier I Target = Score of 0	Not applicable = NA	
	Teril Score: Capstone 4	Terll Score: Milestones 3	2 Tet Score: Milestones 2	Score of 1 Beginning College Level Score: Benchmark 1	Low Beginning College Level Score: Does not meet benchmark 0	NA NA	
Context of and Purpose for Writing	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\cap	\cap	
	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\cap	\bigcirc	
Content Development							
Genre and Disciplinary Conventions	O	O	O	O	O	O	
Sources and Evidence	\cup	\cup	\cup	\cup	O	\cup	
Control of Syntax and Mechanics	0	0	0	0	0	0	